Info-ParaNet Newsletters Volume I Number 371

Sunday, March 3rd 1991

Today's Topics:

correction
Coverup?
GBL we love you
crop circles sighted in PROCOMM PLUS ad
Commander X
(none)
Coverup?
Gbl We Love You
Re: Lazar End.
Kecksburg
Lush & Hatoon
Re: 'secrets' And Gulf Breeze

From: wam.umd.edu!infinity
Subject: correction
Date: 1 Mar 91 00:28:52 GMT

From: David Elmore Coleman <infinity@wam.umd.edu>

To correct:

I wrote:
+ This sounds like an aspect of the contactee
+ movement that is a different issue. I was discussing elements suggesting
+ that there are no interior consistencies between contactees and abductees,
+ *in the context of the contactee world of ideas.*

I meant 'no interior INconsistencies' not 'no interior consistencies.'
Sorry.
      More galactic thoughts from:
Amicitia Subjugat Omnia   Hweohthte... (Hwe-oath-T)
   ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----
David E. Coleman   infinity@wam.umd.edu
8125 48th Ave, Apt. 612
College Park, MD 20740   1-(301)-474-7424
   ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----
Unknowingly, he picked up a whirly blue throwstone with strange hieroglyphics
on the opposite side he didn't see, and he tossed it into the sunlit stream;
A note said he had opened a gate to some place indescribable.
   ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----

---

From: vm1.yorku.ca!YSCS1296
Subject: Coverup?
Date: 1 Mar 91 02:19:07 GMT

From: User <YSCS1296@vm1.yorku.ca>

IN RESPONSE TO:
-
'Those who watched the ABC 'America's Best-kept Secrets' last night (25 Feb) will know whereof I speak. The show proceeded normally up to the segment on Gulf breeze, which was preceeded by a commercial break. Then, only a few seconds into the segment, it was wiped off the air by an ABC News 'Gulf War Special Update'. Was this real Gulf War news? NO! It was a totally worthless piece of puffery with no particular time-reference.'
-
That was my initial reaction as well, but I must say that we are all a bit too much hyped up on the conspiracy factor. IMHO, the government is proceeding with a public education campaign, and not trying to cover it all up any more (they can't!). Reason for this is that I called a friend up who told me that (s)he was able to watch the entire show without any interruptions, including the entire UFO segment. (s)he did not get the ABC Peter Jennings interruption (for the first time I was dismayed at the Gulf News 'update') and got to see the whole show. Alas, my friend did not record the segment since (s)he believed I would do that anyway.
-
Has anyone else seen the uninterrupted segment? I must say that perhaps the interruption was a specified censor of various parts of the country's networks, so to reduce the number of viewers (remember how the NASA mars face thing was stopped when too many people became aware of it?) and yet allow a smaller portion to absorb the information in a controlled manner of public education. I say this because it is true that the ABC news was total B.S. and did not warrant an update at that particular time (it was NOT ON THE HOUR and was NOT URGENT NEWS - very suspect!).
-
So the worst case scenario is that the coverup was not successful, and they missed out on a few areas of the country, and the best case is that the update was a genuine one - and yet it bugs me that they chose EXACTLY the UFO segment to censor out...
-
However, we did get to see the UNSOLVED MYSTERIES Kecksburg incident eh? Not as awesome as the Roswell one they did before, but still quite good.
-
Still, it P.O.es me off that they're STILL trying to keep this under wraps... even when we hear of 1995 as WW3, 2011 as DDay, etc...
-
And the worst are those jerk scientists who not only try to disprove the evidence, but - worse - make FUN OF the poor victims/witnesses/researchers.

From: vm1.yorku.ca!YSCS1296
Subject: GBL we love you
Date: 1 Mar 91 02:19:45 GMT

From: User <YSCS1296@vm1.yorku.ca>

With regards to GBL's (Greg's) recent posting:
-
I applaud your time and effort at trying to clarify the points you raised. I recall being one of the people who posted reservations about what you had stated. Like I said, I have a very open mind (and the line between that and gullibility is quite fine!) and since I have had a number of personal experiences with the ... um ... weird sector of life, I find it easy to accept most of what you say as quite possible (but not necessarily true) and yet the question still remains, whether or not you are part of the devious government's plan to get names of active UFO folks (active as in simply being curious about all of this... but this can turn out to be a witchhunt for them...) and thus you can see why some of us would rather bug you online with questions, etc. rather than send in our name and addresses, or at least put ourselves on a waiting list for a PO BOX number.
-
Again, I must ask whether or not it would be possible to present the bulk of the material online in a manner easily accessible by us, annonymously, or via paranet postings. If indeed the material is so important, then we as fellow humans in a larger cosmos should set everything else aside, and just read all of this stuff for the next several paranet newsletters, instead of wasting time discussing relatively useless things such as what it really means to be a skeptic, or who flamed who and why, and how much we are P.O.'ed by the Gov't :-), etc... because until we've read this stuff all posted opinions are either moot, or obselete.
-
Then again, why hasn't the gov't censored this publishing company? or will they start to, once this newsletter falls into their watchdog's paws?
-
One final point: How can we contact Hatonn? Or his peers? If we have this built-in antennae (see also CODE OF THE LIFEMAKER, by J.P. Hogan for a SF model of this) then we should know how to use it. Meditation? Or is there some other more efficient manner?

From: vanth!jms
Subject: crop circles sighted in PROCOMM PLUS ad
Date: 1 Mar 91 08:17:24 GMT

From: vanth!jms@amix.commodore.com (Jim Shaffer)

Datastorm Technologies of Columbia MO is running full-page ads for PROCOMM

PLUS with the caption 'Out of the prairie comes proof that a higher level of communication has arrived.' The ad features a field full of crop circles, with the nearest one being in the shape of a floppy disk. The artwork is *perfect* -- the grain is swirled, there are single-file tracks from one circle to the other made by spectators, and the other three circle formations besides the disk are reproductions of actual patterns!

* From the disk of: | jms@vanth.uucp | 'Glittering prizes and
Jim Shaffer, Jr. | amix.commodore.com!vanth!jms | endless compromises
37 Brook Street | 72750.2335@compuserve.com | shatter the illusion of
Montgomery, PA 17752 | (CompuServe as a last resort)| integrity!' (Rush)

From: vanth!jms
Subject: Commander X
Date: 1 Mar 91 08:18:05 GMT

From: vanth!jms@amix.commodore.com (Jim Shaffer)

This is probably an old subject, but does anybody have any concrete information on this 'Commander X' person who wrote a book for Inner Light Publications? His claim seems to be that at least some 'aliens' come from the Earth, and that in addition to underground bases there is a network of tunnels going around the world. These tunnels are supposedly inhabited by evil reptilian creatures who are native to this planet but cooperate with the Greys. The tunnel system is supposed to have entrances in all the major cities of the world. Other than the tunnels and the reptilian monsters, it's basically the same old abductions/mutilations/genetic engineering story we all know and love. :-)

Two things that have been added to the advertisements for the book lately: A claim that the aliens are going to make themselves known to the public this year, and a picture of one of the reptiloids. The picture is pretty fuzzy, and I could swear I've seen it somewhere before. I'm not even sure it isn't a Gorn from 'Star Trek,' for example.

The biggest thing puzzling me about all this is where the reptile monsters story came from. Isn't there any money in Greys anymore? :-)

This particular ad appeared in an issue of 'UFO Universe' that also featured an Elvis-UFO connection...

* From the disk of: | jms@vanth.uucp | 'Glittering prizes and
Jim Shaffer, Jr. | amix.commodore.com!vanth!jms | endless compromises
37 Brook Street | 72750.2335@compuserve.com | shatter the illusion of
Montgomery, PA 17752 | (CompuServe as a last resort)| integrity!' (Rush)

From: vanth!jms
Subject: (none)
Date: 2 Mar 91 17:12:54 GMT

From: vanth!jms@amix.commodore.com (Jim Shaffer)

+From: lush@ecn.purdue.edu (Gregory B Lush)
+Date: 27 Feb 91 17:27:28 GMT
+
+ I believe that things are going to get pretty horrendous on this
+ planet soon, and for a long time. Knowledge is your best tool.

In some ways things are already pretty horrendous (the environment, AIDS, crime, fascist governments, etc.) Saying that they're going to continue to get worse doesn't require information from outside sources. But if you mean something like the Apocalypse, I'm afraid there's no concrete information that would lead to predictions of that nature.

I do agree in principle with the statement that 'knowledge is your best tool', though. Just look at how much better the environment would be if people would enlighten themselves and demand support for alternative fuels, instead of using all that oil. But as long as the 'establishment' insists on using oil for everything, society as a whole isn't going to be able to change. And I don't expect the establishment to change, because it means some of them would be out of their jobs. Of course it's their own fault that information and technology have been around for years and they've chosen to ignore it.

+The individual then must make an effort to verify the information from
+of other sources. I recommend these Journals because no other source
+that I have seen is so complete.

OK, so we read something in several apparently unconnected places. But how are we to know that they're truly unconnected, or that *any* of them are telling the truth?

+understandable way. I believe that once you have the WHOLE picture,
+you can understand how it is not only possible that these conspiratorial
+charges are true, but the data uncovered by humans alone makes it
+LIKELY that it is going on.

I'm not trying to say that there are NO conspiracies in the world, I'm just saying that every one that's published isn't necessarily true. In fact there certainly is something going on with respect to UFOs, but what?

+Because I now see the aspects of The Plan manifesting themselves every
+day that I read the paper. I warn everyone to watch Russia now, because
+what happens there, will happen here. This is the The Global 2000

+plan for world control through the United Nations, and it is happening.
+We will have martial law, $50 and $100 notes confiscated without warning,
+and war will find its way here.

I see things happening that I don't like either. But I can't make the alien connection just on the basis of the Pleidians, or Bill Cooper, or whoever. I think it's more along the lines of there are a bunch of people who don't like the government and would like to see it change, but the government won't change because their way is the only way they know. There was someone, I think it was Robert Anton Wilson, who proposed that humanity is divided into two groups, neophiles and neophobes. For what it's worth, I agree with that assessment. I can't go into details here because I don't want to start an ideology war. It's not the purpose of this forum.

+enough to let some more light in. I DON'T CARE WHETHER THERE ARE
+ALIENS HERE OR NOT. It does not matter. It is possible to verify the
+information regarding our government contained within these Journals.
+Ignore the author and absorb the information. What matters is whether or
+not our country is being taken away from us along with our Freedoms.

Bill Cooper is saying this exact same thing, or at least was the last time I read anything from him.

+There was a reference to the year 1992.

Obscure sources say the aliens (one species anyway) are going to make themselves known this year. For what it's worth.

+In a letter to the publishers, a man stated that a massive bomb
+shelter is being built in Australia (in the side of a mountain)
+to house 10,000 people (Elite). It is to be completed in 1992.
+I guess that might support the 1992 calculation for a Nuke-fest.

I don't know who would be crazy enough to start a large-scale nuclear war. It would destroy the ecology of the entire planet. You couldn't live in your bomb shelter forever. If Tom Beardon's theory about scalar electromagnetic field weapons is correct, that would be the way to go if you wanted to wipe out a huge area in a hurry. Proof of the existence of such technology would also bring about a New World Order really fast, because it would mean free energy is real, hyperspace is real, and all sorts of other things that would mean our old way of thinking is wrong.

+The Pleidians say that there is nothing of channeling about how the information
+for these books is received. Hatonn explains that the receiver
+receives short wave radio communications in his/her head--we have an internal
+antennae, I guess. She then types it into a computer. The Pleidians do not
+publish the books; they provide the information, and humans do the work.

If the receiver is really getting this by radio, it should be easily provable. (Some people CAN receive amplitude-modulated signals directly, by the way.)

I've heard two theories to explain psychic powers in scientific terms. One is by the previously-mentioned Tom Beardon and involves the

previously-mentioned scalar waves, the other involves quantum mechanics and is by someone whose name I've temporarily forgotten.

My problem with information allegedly received by psychic means is that there's no way of knowing whether it *really* came by psychic means or whether it's just something made up by the receiver's subconscious mind. I know of no psychic who is accurate all the time, even among ones who are accurate some of the time.

+EBE they describe as someone who was here to help us too. He came
+in friendship and love. He was invited in and then
+incarcerated and tortured. These creatures are most beloved
+by the members of the Cosmos. I will try to quote Hatonn correctly
+when he said 'You (humans) owe a tremendous debt to your Cosmic Brothers.'

If EBE was a Grey, as Cooper et. al. claim, what accounts for the stories of the Greys told by abductees, then?

There are, of course, other species in the literature.

+The Pleidians explain that psychic phenomena and all that is
+attached with it, such as remote viewing, is just simple physics.
+The receiving of this material is not mystical or channeling.
+It is physics that the general populace knows nothing about, but
+is really quite simple to them (obviously). Nikola Tesla developed
+an understanding of much of this, but the bad guys (whoever THEY are)
+got to him, and have hidden a lot of his work.

I have been reading quite a bit about this, and also about a man named Keely who was apparently on the trail of the same sort of thing as Tesla, but involving SOUND instead of electromagnetism. Keely also unfortunately expressed everything in his own unique terminology instead of conventional scientific terms, so his work is inaccessible and frankly looks like a bunch of nonsense to the uninitiated.

As for the Tesla end of things (actually, a lot is attributed to people expanding on his ideas rather than Tesla himself), all I can say is that it's very interesting to read and it sort of makes sense to me. Unfortunately, my knowledge of physics isn't that great so I'm probably not qualified to say.

However, I'm not sure that Tesla's ideas were *actively* surpressed so much as they were *passively* surpressed. That is, as I said above, a certain type of person seems to be resistant to learning new ideas, and resultantly fearful of them, much as the opposite type of person revels in new ideas. It could also have something to do with the fact that Tesla didn't have enough money to complete his research. Regardless, I think the problem is largely simple ignorance rather than suppression. How many people, for example, have actually seen a bladeless turbine in use? How many, for that matter, know that such a thing even exists? And that it's more efficient and safer than conventional turbines?

+The Hubble Telescope was built, tested and loaded into the shuttle
+and 'never was there a more beauteous instrument built (by humans)'

+the Pleidians say. However, a replacement/substitute was also made because
+it was likely that a whole lot of truth would come out of it. I guess, if
+you read some of the public review about the events leading up to the
+'switch,' you find that the designers were not allowed to be a
+part of the re-loading process, and that it was stored for an extensive
+time in an 'off-limits' location covered by a shroud.

If two were built, it ought to be in the budget somewhere. Anybody know
where?

Also, what were they afraid of? Although it was going to give us
revolutionary views of the universe, I doubt that the resolution would've
been good enough to detect signs of extraterrestrial civilizations
anywhere.

* From the disk of: | jms@vanth.uucp | 'Glittering prizes and
Jim Shaffer, Jr. | amix.commodore.com!vanth!jms | endless compromises
37 Brook Street | 72750.2335@compuserve.com | shatter the illusion of
Montgomery, PA 17752 | (CompuServe as a last resort)| integrity!' (Rush)

From: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: Coverup?
Date: 1 Mar 91 20:30:00 GMT

> From: vm1.yorku.ca!YSCS1296@scicom.AlphaCDC.COM
> Date: 1 Mar 91 02:19:07 GMT
> Message-ID: <10877@scicom.AlphaCDC.COM>
> Newsgroups: info.paranet
>
> From: User <YSCS1296@vm1.yorku.ca>
>
> That was my initial reaction as well, but I must say that we are all a
> bit
> too much hyped up on the conspiracy factor. IMHO, the government is
> proceeding with a public education campaign, and not trying to cover it
> all
> up any more (they can't!). Reason for this is that I called a friend up
> who told me that (s)he was able to watch the entire show without any
> interruptions, including the entire UFO segment. (s)he did not get the
> ABC Peter Jennings interruption (for the first time I was dismayed at
> the
> Gulf News 'update') and got to see the whole show. Alas, my friend did
> not
> record the segment since (s)he believed I would do that anyway.

I agree that we are too hyped on the coverup scenario. Too much,in fact, that we are having a difficult time seeing the forest for the trees. Fact is, we do know that the Robertson Panel in the early '50s did recommend a public education program along the lines of "debunking" the public reports. Even though there seems to be more coverage being given to UFOs, I am not convinced that it is any different than it has been before. I saw an article in my local paper describing another Soviet UFO encounter where children were involved in the sighting. They described a pyramid-shaped object with the top missing jumping in 15 foot increments across a grassy area. The report goes on to say that there were no landing traces found other than high magnetic readings in the spot that the children described the object as being. Sounds so much like the report from last year which commanded so much media attention - tall beings with a bump for a head and one eye accompanied by a robot. The ABC special which chronicled the Gulf Breeze affair was absolutely meaningless since there is no way to reference or study the red light in the film. For all we know, someone could have been in a tree far off from the camera using a flashlight with a red lense to increase the sensational value of the program.

As for the interruption, I did not see any break in the report. The problem that was reported was due to a delay in the satellite broadcast of the program due to the various time zones in the United States. It so happened, that the person reporting the break in the program was in a location where Jennings did an update at the time the program was airing. I don't see any reason to suspect that the program was being censored or blacked out.

> Still, it P.O.es me off that they're STILL trying to keep this under
> wraps...
> even when we hear of 1995 as WW3, 2011 as DDay, etc...

What about 1995 as WW3, 2011 as DDay? What evidence do we have that any of this is true?

> And the worst are those jerk scientists who not only try to disprove the
> evidence, but - worse - make FUN OF the poor
> victims/witnesses/researchers.

Could you please elaborate on this? What jerk scientists?

Mike

--
Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: Gbl We Love You
Date: 1 Mar 91 20:53:00 GMT

> From: vm1.yorku.ca!YSCS1296
> Date: 1 Mar 91 02:19:45 GMT
> Message-ID: <10878@scicom.AlphaCDC.COM>
> Newsgroups: info.paranet
>
> From: User <YSCS1296@vm1.yorku.ca>

> Then again, why hasn't the gov't censored this publishing company? or
> will they start to, once this newsletter falls into their watchdog's paws?

I do not feel that there is enough evidence to demonstrate that the government is interested in censoring UFO material as it is generated in the public forum. I will agree that they are interested in censoring material generated within their own organizations, and perhaps there is good reason to do this where national security is at stake. Unfortunately, there is too much made about this issue. The line between what the government tells us about UFOs and what they actually know is a very fine one. They are concerned with keeping order in the society and not allowing people to go off half-cocked on these matters.

What we really should be concerned with is establishing some basic facts about the enigma, aside from our personal belief systems, pursuing various avenues of scientific research, and boiling this down to get to a reasonable way to approach this subject. Our preconceived ideas about this just are not acceptable in research.

Mike

--
Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@p0.f4.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Re: Lazar End.
Date: 28 Feb 91 01:39:00 GMT

> Rick Moen said with skeptical tongue in side of cheek;
>
> > Whoa there, Don. There's something _very wrong_ with
> > accepting this form as evidence of anything at all. I'll be very
> > reassured if you aren't going to need me to tell you what that is, and

> What I did say was that we received a fax of the W-2 form
> in question. I did not say that we thought it was genuine.
> However, rest assured. . . . . I am following up on it.

Hi Don. While you're checking on the W-2, why not spend a couple of dimes checking out Rick Moen?

I mean, do any of us REALLY know if HE exists? A committee of hydrophobic Mensa misfits could be writing this stuff, you know.

Cheers,
  Clark

--
Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@p0.f4.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Mike.Keithly@f70.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Mike Keithly)
Subject: Kecksburg
Date: 3 Mar 91 04:49:00 GMT

Whatever happened to that UFO crash in Pennsylvania, last iheard it was a Russian Satelite that crashed..   Any new Ideas..
                      Mike Keithly

--
Mike Keithly - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Mike.Keithly@f70.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Burke@f9.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (John Burke)
Subject: Lush & Hatoon
Date: 3 Mar 91 08:50:00 GMT

Quoting a message from Greg Lush (who seems to be impressed by allegedly channelled information from a "Pleaiden" entity named Hatoon) Jim Shaffer writes:

 >
 > +There was a reference to the year 1992.
 >
 > Obscure sources say the aliens (one species anyway) are
 > going to make
 > themselves known this year. For what it's worth.
 >

Hi Jim!

As you and others here are well aware, the "Year Of Disclosure" is always one or two years ahead of the year of such a prophecy, as it has been for the past 25 years. Someone should make a point of getting back in touch with Greg Lush at the end of 1992, when we are still awaiting The Disclosure, and ask him to pass the word along to Hatoon that he should hold his Journals between his legs. :-)

One point that really grabbed me about this Hatoon dogma, was the claim that these Space Brothers are from "our sister constellation, the Pleaides." Too bad the Space Brothers didn't tell us what *our* constellation is, because noone here seems to know. Of course, whatever constellation the Sun is part of, depends on one's vantage point in space. Who knows? To some ETs, the Sun may appear as a herpes lesion on the lip of Virgo. To others it may appear as a turd, falling from beneath the tail of Leo.

Those who buy this Space Brother dogma may have overlooked the fact that the Pleaides is NOT a constellation. If the Space Brothers knew anything about astronomy, they'd know that the Pleaides would be more correctly described as an open cluster. Why the Pleaides would be our "sister" *anything* also defies explanation. As I recall, it's about 410 light years away -- quite a distant relative! Our Sun is a "yellow dwarf" while the stars in the Pleaides are blue giants: young, short-lived stars which would bombard the entire vicinity of the cluster with intense quantities of every type of deadly radiation.

I find it amusing that those who contrived this Hatoon crap saw fit to include a bit of Cooperphilia. They seem to have done a good job at targeting their audience!

-- John

--
John Burke - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Burke@f9.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@p0.f4.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Re: 'secrets' And Gulf Breeze
Date: 2 Mar 89 05:31:00 GMT

> Those who watched the ABC 'America's Best-kept Secrets'
> last night (25 Feb)
> will know whereof I speak. The show proceeded normally up
> to the segment
> on Gulf breeze, which was preceeded by a commercial break.
> Then, only a

> few seconds into the segment, it was wiped off the air by
> an ABC News
> 'Gulf War Special Update'. Was this real Gulf War news? NO!

Well, maybe it *was* an attempt to keep a riveting sighting off the air. Or maybe it was a case of undiscriminating enthusiasm for any and all war news.

The editor-in-chief of Soldier of Fortune was recently heard to comment that the Ryiadh briefings were being covered by 'a bunch of boobs and dorks that couldn't even cover a fire.'

Here in N.Y. they break into radio coverage to cover, live, the most mundane stuff from the Gulf. I think they're afraid that the briefing they DON'T cover will be the one announcing Saddam's Ascension. Or Desension.

Any chance of a rebroadcase, I wonder?

Best,
  Clark

--
Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@p0.f4.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

********To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to********
'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP {ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara
DOMAIN infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com
ADMIN Address infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com
  {ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara-request

******************The**End**of**Info-ParaNet**Newsletter**********************